Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,085	SEKINE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel A. Gebremarian	2811	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Samuel A. Gebremariam</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Leonard P. Diana</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 February 2006.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's represe	entative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	y) was not reached.	h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Confirmed the application</u>		reed to if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments	iner agreed would renders the of the following the followi	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has a OF ONE MONTH OR TERVIEW SUMMARY F	already been filed, APPI THIRTY DAYS FROM T ORM, WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
•			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required	